Critique of the Nolasco Decision

Jacinto D. Jimenez 31 ATENEO L.J. 56 (1987)

SUBJECT(S): EVIDENCE, SEARCH AND SEIZURE

KEYWORD(S): NOLASCO V. PANO, SEARCH WARRANT, ARREST,

Admissible Evidence, Warrantless Search and

SEIZURE, SEARCH INCIDENTAL TO ARREST

This Case Comment on *Nolasco*, et al. v. Pano, et al. criticizes as erroneous the Supreme Court's decision to admit evidence seized despite its ruling that the search warrant used was void and the Court's construction of what may be considered as a search incident to a lawful arrest. The Author supports his arguments using the same American sources inaccurately interpreted by the Court in its decision and supplemented this with other United States decisions on similar cases. The only logical conclusion is that the documents seized should have been declared inadmissible as evidence.